

MINUTES of the MEETING of the
WAVERLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL
held in the Council Chamber,
Council Offices, Godalming on
18 February 2014 at 7.00 p.m.

* Cllr Patricia Ellis (Mayor)

* Cllr Liz Wheatley (Deputy Mayor)

- | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| * Cllr Brian Adams | * Cllr Nicky Lee |
| Cllr Stella Andersen-Payne | Cllr Denis Leigh |
| * Cllr Mike Band | * Cllr Peter Martin |
| Cllr Gillian Beel | * Cllr Tom Martin |
| * Cllr Patrick Blagden | * Cllr Bryn Morgan |
| * Cllr Maurice Byham | Cllr Stephen Mulliner |
| * Cllr Elizabeth Cable | * Cllr David Munro |
| Cllr Carole Cockburn | * Cllr Elliot Nichols |
| * Cllr Jim Edwards | Cllr Jennifer O'Grady |
| * Cllr Brian Ellis | Cllr Stephen O'Grady |
| * Cllr Jenny Else | * Cllr Donal O'Neill |
| * Cllr Mary Foryszewski | * Cllr Julia Potts |
| * Cllr Pat Frost | * Cllr Wyatt Ramsdale |
| * Cllr Richard Gates | Cllr Stefan Reynolds |
| * Cllr Michael Goodridge | Cllr Ian Sampson |
| * Cllr Tony Gordon-Smith | Cllr Janet Somerville |
| * Cllr Lynn Graffham | Cllr Roger Steel |
| * Cllr Jill Hargreaves | * Cllr Stewart Stennett |
| * Cllr Christiaan Hesse | * Cllr Christopher Storey |
| * Cllr Stephen Hill | * Cllr Adam Taylor-Smith |
| * Cllr Nicholas Holder | Cllr Jane Thomson |
| Cllr Simon Inchbald | Cllr Simon Thornton |
| * Cllr Peter Isherwood | * Cllr Brett Vorley |
| * Cllr Diane James | * Cllr John Ward |
| * Cllr Carole King | * Cllr Keith Webster |
| * Cllr Robert Knowles | * Cllr Ross Welland |
| * Cllr Martin Lear | * Cllr Nick Williams |

* Cllr Andrew Wilson

* Present

Prior to the commencement of the meeting, prayers were led by the
Reverend Jonathan Thomas.

39. MINUTES

The Minutes of the Meeting of the Council held on 10 December 2013 were confirmed and signed, subject to amending the name of the questioner to Mark Westcott in Minute No. 35.

40. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Stella Andersen-Payne, Gillian Beel, Carole Cockburn, Simon Inchbald, Denis Leigh, Stephen Mulliner, Jennifer O'Grady, Stephen O'Grady, Stefan Reynolds, Ian Sampson, Janet Somerville, Roger Steel, Jane Thomson and Simon Thornton.

41. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The following non-pecuniary interests were declared at the meeting:-

| | | |
|------------------|---|---|
| Cllr Julia Potts | Special Executive Minutes of 12 December 2013 | As a member of Farnham Town Council |
| Cllr Jenny Else | Minute No.146 (Executive Minutes 4.2.14) | As the Waverley representative on AgeUK in an observer capacity |
| Cllr Mike Band | Executive Minute Nos. 126, 135 and 150 | As a member of Wonersh Parish Council and the ward councillor |
| Cllr Nick Holder | Minute No.146 (Executive Minutes 4.2.14) | As a Friend of the Clockhouse in Milford |

Cllr Keith Webster declared a pecuniary interest in Minute No. 134 of the Executive meeting held on 7 January 2014 (Solar Panels).

42. MAYOR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Mayor asked the Council to send best wishes to Councillors Gillian Beel and Janet Somerville who had both been unwell but were recovering well. She thanked the Reverend for thinking of them both in his prayers.

The Mayor reminded councillors about Commonwealth Day on 10 March 2014 and invited everyone to attend a short ceremony on that date to support the Leader of the Council raising the Commonwealth Flag at 10am. This would become an annual event on the second Monday in March.

The Mayor commented that staff had been truly magnificent during the recent spell of bad weather conditions and flooding across the country and in the borough. The Council supported the Mayor in officially recording thanks to the staff for their help and willingness to volunteer without complaint especially over the Christmas period. It was also recorded that Waverley was lucky to have so many willing volunteers who had responded kindly to the situation.

43. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

The following questions were received in accordance with Procedure Rule 10 from members of the public:-

i. from Mr Jerry Hyman of Farnham

"Lady Mayor. Tonight's detailed Budget Pages (pp 140, 141) present a Timetable for East Street effectively seeking Members' approval to commit funds to secure a recruitment consultant, to engage the expertise and perhaps miracles necessary to deliver Crest's impractical scheme.

Page 140 states, *“Purchase of Marlborough Head – March/April 2014”* - a matter of weeks away. I’m asking Members to please consider whether such action may be premature.

Last August WBC asked the SofS to confirm your legal advisers’ longheld Opinion that EIA of Riverside is not required, but after a delay to obtain necessary further information from WBC, his Screening Direction instead confirmed my position, i.e. that a complete Environmental Statement for the whole of the scheme must be provided (or consent cannot lawfully be granted). To quote from the SD, the EIA must cover the *“... effects of the whole project (East Street and Riverside) in relation to current traffic and environmental issues...”*

The EIA Directive states its purpose as being to prescribe a process to prevent “environmental damage” from the consenting (and construction) of qualifying ‘major projects’. Thus the Screening Direction serves an authoritative Order, with the effect that construction of any part of the whole scheme, which includes the Riverside car park and the tennis courts, must cease pending a complete EIA.

For WBC to produce a complete ES for the whole East Street/Riverside scheme requires detailed in-combination assessments of matters such as traffic modelling, drainage characteristics, tree loss, and increasing flooding downstream (in Elstead, Godalming and Guildford), all of which Crest’s experts have proven incapable of assessing despite being given many years to do so. Even if solutions to the scheme’s problems can be found, it will require a lengthy process of working with the Environment Agency, and complicated modelling of traffic proposals by SCC Highways. The Tennis Club has perfectly usable courts, and is entitled by law to be consulted upon the likely drainage and sanitary characteristics of the new facility before its construction commences.

The Council has three years to decide when to acquire and close down the Marlborough Head, if necessary. There is no imperative need to avoid due process. Will Members tonight please give due consideration to the withdrawal of the timetable, and to providing an appropriate assurance that the acquisition of the Marlborough Head, the revision of the developer contract, and the carrying out of further works to the temporary car park extension and tennis facilities, are all now halted pending completion of the EIA process? Thank You.”

The Leader of the Council gave the following response:-

“Thank you for your question. Unfortunately, you are simply wrong on a number of points.

1) Waverley officers thought that an Environment Impact Assessment might be necessary for the Riverside car park and accordingly asked the National Planning Casework Unit for a screening direction. Instead of taking the statutory 3 weeks to respond, it took the Unit 6 months to reach a conclusion.

2) The EIA will relate effectively to the car park at Riverside and will not affect the existing planning consents for the new tennis facilities at Riverside or the main Brightwells scheme which remain extant. The Environmental Statement will of course need to look at the cumulative environmental effects on the Riverside and the Brightwells scheme. I am sorry that you want to stop the Brightwells Tennis club having improved facilities, but this Council is clear that it wants to see the new and improved facilities being used as soon as possible. I can confirm that Waverley has up to 3 years to purchase the Marlborough Head Pub, and the Council will do so at the appropriate time.”

ii. from Mr David Wylde of Farnham

“In January last year a letter was handed to the Inspector at the CPO inquiry, concerning the Marlborough Head public house, stating that funding was available for the East Street Development. It is assumed now that this offer has been withdrawn. At the Brightwells Steering Group meeting of the 2 July 2013 under the heading ‘Funding’, I quote, ‘While discussions were continuing with two potential funders, Bruce McArthur was preparing a prospectus to go out to the wider market.’ A later meeting on November 19 2013 reports no update on funding.

Has Crest Nicholson got the necessary funding in place for the commercial part of the East Street Development?”

Cllr Adam Taylor-Smith responded as follows:-

“Thank you Mr Wylde for your question. The funding offer from a UK pension fund at the time of the CPO still remains on the table. The details are commercially sensitive and the Inspector at the CPO saw evidence and was satisfied with the existence of the offer. As you will appreciate, the economic and funding position is now much improved since January 2013 and there are simply more institutions in the market now willing to fund on a more advantageous basis.

The responsibility for securing funding for the development rests entirely with Crest Nicholson as the Council’s Development Partner. For the avoidance of doubt, Waverley Borough Council bears none of the risk of funding in relation to construction costs.

It is in the interests of the Council for the best funding deal possible to be obtained and current indications are that a better funding offer will be achieved within a short timescale. The feedback from potential funders is very encouraging and they are viewing well the prospect of the residential and commercial space being developed in Farnham, which will provide a cinema and a range of restaurant and retail units that are not otherwise available in the town.”

iii. from Mr Mike Thurston of Farnham

“Farnham people have lost a bowling club, have a theatre under threat of demolition, and face planned encroachment of massive buildings into a privatised Brightwell Gardens. The largely unwanted East Street development promoted by this Council was a major determinant leading more than 10500 people to sign a petition to the Queen requesting that Farnham should no longer be part of Waverley Borough.

We learn now that a feasibility study has been initiated that would result in a move of the Gostrey Centre, for more than ten years an essential element of the East Street development, to the Memorial Hall. Without very considerable extension and massive re-building of the Hall, the Centre would be worse off for space relative to the current allocation within the East Street scheme. Occupation of the Hall by a day centre would place major constraints on other potential users. The Memorial Hall is the only public hall in Farnham available for community use. Will the feasibility study consider the current users of the Hall and can we expect Crest Nicholson to provide an alternative facility within the East Street development for the people of Farnham?”

Cllr Adam Taylor-Smith gave the following reply:-

“Good evening Mr Thurston and thank you for your question. At the outset, I must correct you on some factual points.

Firstly, it is simply incorrect to say that a “theatre is facing demolition”. The former Redgrave Theatre closed in 1998 because people stayed away and huge losses resulted. The building is a shell and there is no prospect of it reopening. Waverley determined years ago to focus arts funding in Farnham on the successful and vibrant Maltings. Secondly, the open spaces in Brightwells will remain open to the public when the scheme is complete and this is assured.

Turning to the substance of your question; the Memorial Hall is currently underused as a community facility. The potential refurbishment of the current hall will improve community facilities making it a more attractive venue for all. As part of an ongoing feasibility study, regular users of the hall will be consulted regarding the design of the building to ensure their needs are taken into account. The study will also look to ensure that any disruption to existing users of the Memorial hall will be kept to an absolute minimum. Waverley Borough Council is keen to respond to the requests from the Gostrey trustees to move to the Memorial Hall and work with them and other key stakeholders to design an exemplar community centre for Farnham and beyond. I would only support a move if it was to improved facilities, and it appears to me that this will very much be the case”.

44. BUDGET 2014/2015

The Chairman of the Executive presented the reports at Appendix A to the agenda, and following this, both the Chairman of the Executive and the Portfolio Holder for Finance delivered Budget Statements to the Council, copies of which are attached as Annexe 1 to these minutes. This was followed by a Budget Statement delivered by the Leader of the UKIP Group.

44.1 General Fund Revenue Estimates 2014/15 (Appendix A.1)

At 8.02 p.m. the following amendments were proposed and seconded:-

- “1. that the Council tax be reduced by 1% for the coming financial year with the funds coming from the Council’s reserves; and
2. that the rate of inflation used to calculate price increases by the Council be adjusted to 1.9% CPI, and the £87,000 saving be allocated to the Community Partnership Grants budget.”

Following a debate on these amendments, it was moved in accordance with Procedure Rule 17.5 that the voting on these amendments should be by roll-call and recorded. However, having failed to obtain the requisite number of councillors to support a recorded vote, voting was conducted electronically.

Upon being put to the vote, the amendments were LOST.

It was moved by the Chairman of the Executive, duly seconded and

RESOLVED that the report of the Executive at Appendix A.1 be approved and recommendations 1-9 contained therein adopted.

44.2 General Fund Capital Programme 2014/15 (Appendix A.2)

It was moved by the Chairman of the Executive, duly seconded and

RESOLVED that the report of the Executive at Appendix A.2 be approved and recommendations 10-15 contained therein adopted.

44.3 Housing Revenue Account Business Plan 2014/2041 and Revenue Budget 2014/15 (Appendix A.3)

It was moved by the Chairman of the Executive, duly seconded and

RESOLVED that the report of the Executive at Appendix A.3 be approved and recommendations 16-22 contained therein adopted.

44.4 Housing Revenue Account Capital Programme 2014/15, New Affordable Homes Programme and Stock Improvement Programme (Appendix A.4)

It was moved by the Chairman of the Executive, duly seconded and

RESOLVED that the report of the Executive at Appendix A.4 be approved and recommendations 23-26 contained therein adopted.

44.5 Council Tax Setting 2014/15 (Appendix A.5)

It was moved by the Chairman of the Executive, duly seconded and

RESOLVED that

27.1 the following amounts having been calculated by the Council for the year 2014/2015 in accordance with the Regulations made under Section 31B (3) of the Act be approved:

(a) 52,037.0 being the amount calculated by the Council, in accordance with Regulation 3 of the Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) Regulations 1992 (as amended) as its Council Tax Base for the year;

(b) Part of the Council's area – Tax Base Band D Equivalents

| | | |
|--------------|----------|--|
| Alfold | 448.2 | being the amounts calculated by the Council in accordance with Regulation 6 of the Regulations as the amounts of its Council Tax base for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which one or more special items relate. |
| Bramley | 1,609.3 | |
| Busbridge | 444.1 | |
| Chiddingfold | 1,346.2 | |
| Churt | 625.2 | |
| Cranleigh | 4,986.2 | |
| Dockenfield | 230.7 | |
| Dunstable | 518.3 | |
| Elstead | 1,124.5 | |
| Ewhurst | 1,162.8 | |
| Farnham | 16,393.5 | |
| Frensham | 843.3 | |
| Godalming | 8,502.5 | |
| Hambledon | 419.4 | |
| Hascombe | 158.7 | |
| Haslemere | 7,405.2 | |
| Peper Harow | 100.8 | |
| Thursley | 361.9 | |
| Tilford | 354.7 | |
| Witley | 3,294.2 | |
| Wondersh | 1,707.2 | |

27.2 The following amounts have been calculated for the Council for 2014/2015 in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992:

(a) £73,915,691 being the aggregate of the amounts that the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A 2 (a) to (f) of the Act taking into account all precepts issued to it by Parish Councils;

(b) £63,002,826 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A (3) (a) to (d) of the Act;

- (c) £10,912,865 being the amount by which the aggregate at (a) above exceeds the aggregate at (b) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31A (4) of the Act, as its council tax requirement for the year;
- (d) £209.71 being the amount at (c) divided by the amount at 27.1(a) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 31B (1) of the Act and rounded for administrative purposes, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year;
- (e) £2,487,554 being the aggregate amount of all special items referred to in Section 34 (1) of the Act;
- (f) £161.91 being the amount at (d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at (e) above by the amount at 27.1(a) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with the Section 34 (2) of the Act and rounded for administrative purposes, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no special item relates;

(g) Part of Council's area

| | £ | |
|--------------|--------|--|
| Alfold | 209.93 | being the amounts given by adding to the amount at (f) above the special item or items relating to dwellings in those parts of the Council's area mentioned above divided in each case by the amount at 27.1 (b) above calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34 (3) of the Act, as the basic amounts of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which one or more special items relate. |
| Bramley | 184.27 | |
| Busbridge | 183.30 | |
| Chiddingfold | 245.85 | |
| Churt | 207.83 | |
| Cranleigh | 215.82 | |
| Dockenfield | 183.58 | |
| Dunsfold | 219.79 | |
| Elstead | 188.03 | |
| Ewhurst | 214.43 | |
| Farnham | 218.78 | |
| Frensham | 203.41 | |
| Godalming | 214.72 | |
| Hambledon | 184.73 | |
| Hascombe | 205.31 | |
| Haslemere | 191.75 | |
| Peper Harow | 166.87 | |
| Thursley | 189.23 | |
| Tilford | 220.96 | |
| Witley | 211.42 | |
| Wonersh | 183.20 | |

(h) Valuation Bands

| <u>Part of the Council's Area</u> | Band A £ | Band B £ | Band C £ | Band D £ | Band E £ | Band F £ | Band G £ | Band H £ |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Alfold | 139.95 | 163.28 | 186.60 | 209.93 | 256.58 | 303.23 | 349.88 | 419.86 |
| Bramley | 122.85 | 143.32 | 163.80 | 184.27 | 225.22 | 266.17 | 307.12 | 368.54 |
| Busbridge | 122.20 | 142.57 | 162.93 | 183.30 | 224.03 | 264.77 | 305.50 | 366.60 |
| Chiddingfold | 163.90 | 191.22 | 218.53 | 245.85 | 300.48 | 355.12 | 409.75 | 491.70 |
| Churt | 138.55 | 161.65 | 184.74 | 207.83 | 254.01 | 300.20 | 346.38 | 415.66 |
| Cranleigh | 143.88 | 167.86 | 191.84 | 215.82 | 263.78 | 311.74 | 359.70 | 431.64 |
| Dockenfield | 122.39 | 142.78 | 163.18 | 183.58 | 224.38 | 265.17 | 305.97 | 367.16 |
| Dunsfold | 146.53 | 170.95 | 195.37 | 219.79 | 268.63 | 317.47 | 366.32 | 439.58 |
| Elstead | 125.35 | 146.25 | 167.14 | 188.03 | 229.81 | 271.60 | 313.38 | 376.06 |
| Ewhurst | 142.95 | 166.78 | 190.60 | 214.43 | 262.08 | 309.73 | 357.38 | 428.86 |
| Farnham | 145.85 | 170.16 | 194.47 | 218.78 | 267.40 | 316.02 | 364.63 | 437.56 |
| Frensham | 135.61 | 158.21 | 180.81 | 203.41 | 248.61 | 293.81 | 339.02 | 406.82 |
| Godalming | 143.15 | 167.00 | 190.86 | 214.72 | 262.44 | 310.15 | 357.87 | 429.44 |
| Hambledon | 123.15 | 143.68 | 164.20 | 184.73 | 225.78 | 266.83 | 307.88 | 369.46 |
| Hascombe | 136.87 | 159.69 | 182.50 | 205.31 | 250.93 | 296.56 | 342.18 | 410.62 |
| Haslemere | 127.83 | 149.14 | 170.44 | 191.75 | 234.36 | 276.97 | 319.58 | 383.50 |
| Peper Harow | 111.25 | 129.79 | 148.33 | 166.87 | 203.95 | 241.03 | 278.12 | 333.74 |
| Thursley | 126.15 | 147.18 | 168.20 | 189.23 | 231.28 | 273.33 | 315.38 | 378.46 |
| Tilford | 147.31 | 171.86 | 196.41 | 220.96 | 270.06 | 319.16 | 368.27 | 441.92 |
| Witley | 140.95 | 164.44 | 187.93 | 211.42 | 258.40 | 305.38 | 352.37 | 422.84 |
| Wonersh | 122.13 | 142.49 | 162.84 | 183.20 | 223.91 | 264.62 | 305.33 | 366.40 |

being the amounts given by multiplying the amounts at (g) above by the number which, in the proportion set out in Section 5 (1) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, is applicable to dwellings listed in a particular valuation band divided by the number which in that proportion is applicable to dwellings listed in valuation band D, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 36 (1) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 as the

amounts to be taken into account for the year in respect of categories of dwellings listed in different valuation bands.

- 27.3 that it be noted that for 2014/2015 the Surrey County Council and the Police and Crime Commissioner for Surrey have stated the following amounts in precepts issued to the Council, in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, for each of the categories of dwellings shown below.

| | <u>Valuation Bands</u> | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------------------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | A £ | B £ | C £ | D £ | E £ | F £ | G £ | H £ |
| S.C.C. | 797.22 | 930.09 | 1,062.96 | 1,195.83 | 1,461.57 | 1,727.31 | 1,993.05 | 2,391.66 |
| PCC for Surrey | 141.12 | 164.64 | 188.16 | 211.68 | 258.72 | 305.76 | 352.80 | 423.36 |

- 27.4 that, having calculated the aggregate in each case of the amounts at 27.2 (h) and 27.3 above, the Council, in accordance with Section 30 (2) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the following amounts as the amounts of Council Tax for the financial year commencing on 1st April 2014 for each of the categories of dwellings shown below:-

| <u>Part of the Council's Area</u> | <u>Valuation Bands</u> | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | A £ | B £ | C £ | D £ | E £ | F £ | G £ | H £ |
| Alfold | 1,078.29 | 1,258.01 | 1,437.72 | 1,617.44 | 1,976.87 | 2,336.30 | 2,695.73 | 3,234.88 |
| Bramley | 1,061.19 | 1,238.05 | 1,414.92 | 1,591.78 | 1,945.51 | 2,299.24 | 2,652.97 | 3,183.56 |
| Busbridge | 1,060.54 | 1,237.30 | 1,414.05 | 1,590.81 | 1,944.32 | 2,297.84 | 2,651.35 | 3,181.62 |
| Chiddingfold | 1,102.24 | 1,285.95 | 1,469.65 | 1,653.36 | 2,020.77 | 2,388.19 | 2,755.60 | 3,306.72 |
| Churt | 1,076.89 | 1,256.38 | 1,435.86 | 1,615.34 | 1,974.30 | 2,333.27 | 2,692.23 | 3,230.68 |
| Cranleigh | 1,082.22 | 1,262.59 | 1,442.96 | 1,623.33 | 1,984.07 | 2,344.81 | 2,705.55 | 3,246.66 |
| Dockenfield | 1,060.73 | 1,237.51 | 1,414.30 | 1,591.09 | 1,944.67 | 2,298.24 | 2,651.82 | 3,182.18 |
| Dunsfold | 1,084.87 | 1,265.68 | 1,446.49 | 1,627.30 | 1,988.92 | 2,350.54 | 2,712.17 | 3,254.60 |
| Elstead | 1,063.69 | 1,240.98 | 1,418.26 | 1,595.54 | 1,950.10 | 2,304.67 | 2,659.23 | 3,191.08 |
| Ewhurst | 1,081.29 | 1,261.51 | 1,441.72 | 1,621.94 | 1,982.37 | 2,342.80 | 2,703.23 | 3,243.88 |
| Farnham | 1,084.19 | 1,264.89 | 1,445.59 | 1,626.29 | 1,987.69 | 2,349.09 | 2,710.48 | 3,252.58 |
| Frensham | 1,073.95 | 1,252.94 | 1,431.93 | 1,610.92 | 1,968.90 | 2,326.88 | 2,684.87 | 3,221.84 |
| Godalming | 1,081.49 | 1,261.73 | 1,441.98 | 1,622.23 | 1,982.73 | 2,343.22 | 2,703.72 | 3,244.46 |
| Hambleton | 1,061.49 | 1,238.41 | 1,415.32 | 1,592.24 | 1,946.07 | 2,299.90 | 2,653.73 | 3,184.48 |
| Hascombe | 1,075.21 | 1,254.42 | 1,433.62 | 1,612.82 | 1,971.22 | 2,329.63 | 2,688.03 | 3,225.64 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Haslemere | 1,066.17 | 1,243.87 | 1,421.56 | 1,599.26 | 1,954.65 | 2,310.04 | 2,665.43 | 3,198.52 |
| Peper Harow | 1,049.59 | 1,224.52 | 1,399.45 | 1,574.38 | 1,924.24 | 2,274.10 | 2,623.97 | 3,148.76 |
| Thursley | 1,064.49 | 1,241.91 | 1,419.32 | 1,596.74 | 1,951.57 | 2,306.40 | 2,661.23 | 3,193.48 |
| Tilford | 1,085.65 | 1,266.59 | 1,447.53 | 1,628.47 | 1,990.35 | 2,352.23 | 2,714.12 | 3,256.94 |
| Witley | 1,079.29 | 1,259.17 | 1,439.05 | 1,618.93 | 1,978.69 | 2,338.45 | 2,698.22 | 3,237.86 |
| Wonersh | 1,060.47 | 1,237.22 | 1,413.96 | 1,590.71 | 1,944.20 | 2,297.69 | 2,651.18 | 3,181.42 |

[NB Cllr Brett Vorley left the meeting at 8.46 p.m.]

45. MINUTES OF THE EXECUTIVE

45.1 Special Meeting of 12 December 2013

It was moved by the Chairman of the Executive, duly seconded and

RESOLVED that the Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Executive held on 12 December 2013 be approved and the recommendation contained therein adopted.

45.2 Meeting of 7 January 2014

It was moved by the Chairman of the Executive, duly seconded and

RESOLVED that the Minutes of the Meeting of the Executive held on 7 January 2014 be approved and the recommendations contained therein adopted.

45.3 Meeting of 4 February 2014

It was moved by the Chairman of the Executive and duly seconded that the Minutes of the Meeting of the Executive held on 4 February 2014 be approved and adopted.

i. Waverley Community Partnership Applications for Revenue Funding 2014/15 (Minute No. 146)

It was moved and seconded that Recommendation 21 be amended to add the following words at the beginning, to read:-

“21. Subject to the original unanimous Community Overview and Scrutiny Committee decision being reinstated.....the grant levels for each organisation, as detailed in Annexe 1 be approved”

Upon being put to the vote, the amendment was LOST.

For clarification, when voting on the substantive recommendation, the Council agreed to insert the words 'subject to Note 6' so that the recommendation was as follows:-

- "21. The grant levels for each organisation, as detailed in Annexe 1 to the report and subject to Note 6, be approved".

It was moved by the Chairman of the Committee, duly seconded and

RESOLVED that the Minutes of the Executive held on 4 February 2014 be approved and the recommendations contained therein adopted.

[NB. Cllr Martin Lear left the meeting at 9.28 p.m. and Cllr Pat Frost left at 9.38 p.m.]

46. CONTINUATION OF MEETING

During consideration of the above report, at 9.42 p.m. it was proposed by the Mayor in accordance with Procedure Rule 9, and agreed by the Council, that the business of the Council should continue past 10.00 p.m. so that the business on the agenda could be completed.

47. MINUTES OF THE LICENSING AND REGULATORY COMMITTEE

It was moved by the Chairman of the Committee, duly seconded and

RESOLVED that the Minutes of the Meetings of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee held on 16 December 2013 and 30 January 2014 be approved.

48. LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND HOUSING ACT 1989
LOCAL GOVERNMENT (COMMITTEES AND POLITICAL GROUPS)
REGULATIONS 1990

RESOLVED that

1. Cllrs Maurice Byham and Stephen O'Grady be appointed to fill the two vacancies on the Corporate Overview and Scrutiny Committee for the remainder of the current Council year; and
2. Cllrs Peter Isherwood and Wyatt Ramsdale be appointed to fill the two vacancies on the Audit Committee for the remainder of the current Council year.

The meeting concluded at 10.03 p.m.

Mayor